

MEMORANDUM

20 November 2020

TO: Rachael Thorn

COPY: Jason Sullivan, Jannie Phelps, Angela Plummer

RE : *WATERSHED ORDINANCE, Text Amendment, Revised 19 November 2020*

Rachael,

I undertook to revise proposed Watershed Ordinance Text Amendment as we discussed yesterday, and the revision with changes tracked is attached.

The changes and comments are:

1. Section 302.

- (a) All proposed edits are deleted.
- (b) The language we discussed in inserted. The “Mixed Use Cluster Residential” sentence tracks the Zoning text, that comes from the CCO.

2. Section 109. General Definitions.

- The definition “Mixed Use” is changed to “Mixed Use Cluster Residential” and the definition is edited accordingly.
- The definition of Conservation Space is added. That definition comes from the existing Subdivision Regulations.
 - In parentheses I included the minimum and maximum of natural and maximum open spaces. Of course that is not necessary here and can be deleted. I just did not know how much you think best to bring over from the Subdivision Regs.
 - A cite to the Subdivision Regs is included—that is optional.

I did not include definitions of “natural space” or “open space.” Those terms are already used in the Watershed Ordinance without definition.

3. Section 303

- (A) The reference to Section 302 is revised to be “302(b).”
- (B) No change
- (C) Capitalized the defined term “Conservation Space.

--To the last paragraph, I inserted "Conservation Space" to make it clear that all of the Conservation Space is to be conveyed to the POA or have a maintenance agreement, not just the open space portion.

Rachael, and everyone, please let me know if this conforms to what you suggested, and let me know of any suggested changes.

Attachment: 2020.11.19v4 dft revision to Watershed Ordinance