

**MINUTES**  
**CHATHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**  
**REGULAR MEETING**  
**JUNE 18, 2012**

---

The Board of Commissioners (“the Board”) of the County of Chatham, North Carolina, met in the Agricultural Building Auditorium, 45 South Street, Pittsboro, North Carolina, 6:00 PM on June 18, 2012.

Present: Brian Bock, Chairman; Walter Petty, Vice Chairman;  
Commissioners Mike Cross, Sally Kost, and Pamela Stewart

Staff Present: Charlie Horne, County Manager; Jep Rose, County  
Attorney; Renee Paschal, Assistant County Manager; Vicki  
McConnell, Finance Officer; Sandra B. Sublett, Clerk to the  
Board; and Lindsay Ray, Deputy Clerk to the Board

**INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Commissioner Cross delivered the invocation after which the Chairman invited everyone present to stand and recite the Pledge of Allegiance.

**CALL TO ORDER**

Chairman Bock welcomed those in attendance and called the meeting to order at 6:01 PM.

**AGENDA AND CONSENT AGENDA**

Commissioner Cross moved, seconded by Commissioner Stewart, to approve the Agenda and Consent Agenda as follows:

1. **Minutes:** Approval of Board Minutes for the Regular Session held on June 04, 2012  
  
The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).
2. **Health Department Funds Acceptance:** Vote on a request to accept \$7,000.00 funds awarded to the Health Department from the Wake Forest Baptist Medical Center for the North Carolina Healthy Living Partnerships to Prevent Diabetes  
  
The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).
3. **Health Department Funds Acceptance:** Vote on a request to accept \$6,754.11 awarded to the Health Department from the Chatham Parks Foundation to cover expenses for the Reindeer Run  
  
The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).
4. **Pyrotechnics Display July 4, 2012:** Vote on a request to approve a pyrotechnics display at 255 Lois Lane on July 4, 2012  
  
The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).
5. **Governor’s Club Clubhouse Pyrotechnics Display July 4, 2012:** Vote on a request to approve a pyrotechnics display at the Governor’s Club Clubhouse on July 4, 2012

The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).

6. **Tax Releases and Refunds:** Vote on a request to approve the tax releases and refunds, attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof.

The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).

7. **Waste Reduction and Recycling Public Awareness Project Grant:** Vote on a request to approve acceptance of grant funding for a waste reduction and recycling public awareness project – total contract amount \$8585.00

The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).

8. **Debt write-off for Utilities Department:** Vote on a request to approve a debt write-off for the utilities department in the amount of \$18,484.74, attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof.

The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).

9. **Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Budget Amendments:** Vote on a request to approve budget amendments as proposed by staff, attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof.

The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).

10. **OPC Mental Health:** Vote on **Joint Resolution #2012-35 Approving the Dissolution of Orange-Person-Chatham Mental Health, Developmental Disability and Substance Abuse Authority and Establishment of an Area Authority for the New Fifteen County Catchment Area**, attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof.

The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).

11. **Chatham Trades and Chatham Transit Networks Contracts:** Vote on a request to approve the contract between Chatham County and Chatham Trades beginning July 1, 2012 and ending June 30, 2013 and the contract between Chatham County and Chatham Transit beginning July 1, 2012 and ending June 30, 2013, attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof.

The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).

12. **DSS Child Care Networks, Inc. Contract:** Vote on a request to approve the contract between Chatham County Department of Social Services and Child Care Networks, Inc. beginning July 1, 2012 and ending June 30, 2013, attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof.

The motion carried five (5) to zero (0).

**END OF CONSENT AGENDA**

**PUBLIC INPUT SESSION**

**Michael Rogers**, 2148 Henderson Tanyard Road, Pittsboro, NC, stated that he appreciated the opportunity to speak. He stated that he grew up in Durham, his family is from Chatham County, and he has operated an auction-realty business her for twenty years. He deals with a lot of farmers, landowners, and business folks wanting to move into the County. It seems like the County has been in a rut the last few decades. He asked why businesses were wanting to locate in Randolph and/or Lee and other surrounding counties. It is as though previous administrations did not want industry here. He stated that he knows

that the Environmental Review Board has been chosen. From 1994-2005 he taught business and marketing at Jordan Matthews High School. He had quality students tell him that they liked Chatham County and would like to live here, but there are no jobs. On behalf of those students, he asked that the regulations be kept to a minimum so that all can prosper more with a good tax base which will only come through good business and industry. Since the last election, he feels that the Board is finally getting on the right tract and things have started happening which are good for the County.

**Tom Glendinning**, 160 Eddie Perry Road, Pittsboro, NC, presented his comments to the Board and provided them in their entirety for the record as follows:

“I propose that the Chatham BOC pass a resolution praising the fine work and dedication of the Orange-Person-Chatham Mental Health Area Program.

Mr. Glendinning read the proposed resolution:

Be it resolved that the Chatham Board of Commissioners recognizes the fine work, excellent management, honesty and dedication of the Orange-Person-Chatham Mental Health Area Program and its board. The board wishes to thank the staff for the delivery of services, fiscal responsibility, planning, detailed reporting and compliance for its six-year presence and forty-four year history, with special mention of its director, Judy Truitt, for her twenty-three years of service. The County has been well served and expresses its appreciation herein.”

**Richard Hinson**, 27 Stony Branch Trail, Chapel Hill, NC, presented his comments to the Board and provided them in their entirety for the record as follows:

“As a result of the 2010 election, we have 3 new members of the Chatham County Board of Commissioners. During that election cycle, among other things there were two foundational principles that were part of your campaign: 1) to create a business friendly climate in Chatham County and 2) to protect and to secure the private property rights of Chatham County citizens.

These are certainly right and proper things to do. To have a business friendly climate, you need secure property rights. A business friendly climate and secure property rights, in turn, help set the stage for robust and dynamic economic growth. And economic growth creates higher standards of living for all current residents, and for our children and for our grandchildren.

What counts, however, is not what you say but what you do. Talk is cheap. You have the opportunity to appoint members to boards and committees. Your board and committee appointments need to reflect those foundational principles that helped animate your campaign and secure your election: 1) to create a healthy business climate in the county and 2) to protect and to secure the private property rights of the county’s citizens.

I urge you to bear these principles upper most when you consider board and committee appointments. Thank you.”

**Martha Girolami**, 473 Mt. Pisgah Church Road, Apex, NC, stated that she could not think of a more qualified person than George Lucier to serve on the Environmental Review Advisory Committee. He would offer so much experience and knowledge of Chatham County to this committee. He has demonstrated his ability in his past career as a scientist and his past service to the County on the Board of Commissioners and Planning Board. She stated that we were blessed as a County to have such able, outstanding, and vital people living in Chatham County who are willing to give their time and talents to this County. He knows much about Chatham County: its government, its ordinances, and its economic and environmental needs. A fair and non-partisan board will surely support Sally Kost’s nomination of George Lucier to the Environmental Review Advisory Committee.

**Bob Knight**, 406 Chimney Road Lane, Sanford, NC, comments as read by the Clerk to the Board as follows:

“I am on my way for a late appointment to the VA Hospital and can’t make the meeting, so here are my comments.

George Lucier should “NOT” be on the Environmental Review Board. Reasons are his close ties with, past and maybe present, membership to the Heritage Foundation and the Friends of the Rocky River. While Commissioner, his votes on environmental issues always followed their thoughts, proposed more rules calling for more permits and regulations against land owners and home builders, and received campaign funds....funds from members of the mentioned organizations. For these reasons and others, I feel he wouldn’t represent the people well especially when the matter of fracking gets started in maybe 2014.

The people have spoken on the matter of George Lucier in the past. Hopefully he now sees the possible conflict of interest and withdraws his nomination. If not, I hope the Board, as a whole, rejects the nomination. Thank you!”

### **BOARD PRIORITIES**

**FY 2012-2013 Budget Ordinance:** Vote on a request to approve the FY 2012-2013 Budget Ordinance

Renee Paschal, Assistant County Manager, explained specifics as follows:

The FY 2012-2013 Recommended Budget was presented to the Board of Commissioners on May 7, 2012. Public hearings were held on May 21 and 22. State law requires that the County adopt a balanced budget prior to July 1.

The Board of Commissioners held one budget work session and made changes to the Manager's recommendation. The budget ordinance reflects the following changes made by the Board of Commissioners.

Overall, Commissioners increased the recommended budget by \$133,856. This amount is offset by appropriating fund balance. (Note that the County still meets its fund balance policy of not appropriating funds for operating. The amount of fund balance that could be appropriated for one-time items is \$134,281.)

Commissioners also allocated an additional \$8,000 to Family Violence & Rape Crisis and \$2,000 to CORA from \$10,000 set aside for Commissioners to allocate directly.

The adjustments to the FY 2013 Recommended Budget are as follows:

- Increase supplement by 2% for teachers and TAs 66,433.00
- Increase technology allowance for governing board 9,000.00
- Restore EDC cut (\$10K for marketing is one time) 28,500.00
- 4H assistant position 35,100.00
- Take out % time increase for community development position; reduce salary to hiring rate, eliminate operating budget (30,724.00)
- Chatham Transit driver salary increase 25,547.00
- Total 133,856.00
  
- Additional fund balance appropriation needed 133,856.00

The budget ordinance allocates funding to Chatham County Schools in the categories of current expense, one-time current expense, supplement, capital outlay, and capital improvements projects. The ordinance allows the school system to move funds between capital outlay projects and provides for a quarterly accounting of capital outlay.

The budget ordinance increases the mileage reimbursement rate from \$0.51 to \$0.555 cents per mile to match the IRS mileage reimbursement rate. The budget already includes funds for this increase.

The general fund is \$133,856 more than the recommended budget. All other funds remain at recommended levels. There is no effect on the tax rate.

Commissioner Petty moved, seconded by Commissioner Stewart, to adopt the FY 2012-2013 Budget Ordinance.

Commissioner Kost presented her comments to the Board and provided them in their entirety for the record as follows:

### **Why I voted NO to the Chatham County Fiscal Year 2012-13 Budget**

“In many ways, the Chatham County fiscal year 2012-13 is a sound budget. However, since the county budget is a guide to our priorities for investing our resources to benefit our citizens and increase prosperity by growing our economy, there are several key budget decisions over which I vehemently object. And while they may be small in dollar, they are big in principle.

On the positive side, this budget includes an increase in supplemental pay for teachers (even though I would have liked to see a larger amount) and it begins implementing a plan to make County’s employee pay more competitive with surrounding counties. The budget also addresses the opening of the new Judicial Center, planned for December 2012, estimated to be \$837,000.

On the negative side, for less than \$10,000, the County could have provided a small increase in the supplement pay for all school employees, not just those of certified educators. After all, the average salary increase for a County employee will be 6 percent so shouldn’t we try to help all school employees? These school employees work hard as part of the team to provide support to educate our children. Even a small increase would send a message to them that we value what they do. Moreover, teachers cannot do their job without janitors cleaning their rooms, administrative personnel recording test skills and filling out required federal and state reports, bus drivers bringing kids to schools, lunchroom employees feeding children and staff, etc. We should recognize that all schools employees are part of a team and recognize all the team members with similar pay increases.

The second chafe is the \$34,000 that was cut out of the budget that would have provided vital bridge funding to the Arts Incubator in Downtown Siler City. The incubator has 14 related businesses in downtown Siler that depend on it to draw people and shoppers to downtown. The arts are an integral part of the revitalization of downtown. Not providing money to the incubator is an economic development mistake. New leadership and a dynamic director are working hard to strengthen the Incubator but they need this “bridge” money to ensure that they land on their feet. Not funding the Incubator is shortsighted and a blow to strengthening Chatham’s largest town. Moreover, I object to the dismissive tone of the comments about this request, suggesting funding the non-profit arts incubator which was established specifically to promote economic development in our most distressed municipality is the same as providing private support for a profit making business.

Finally, in a related matter, I object to the policy change that provided direct pass through arts funds to the Economic Development Corporation and forces the non-profits for the arts, that is Chatham Arts and the Siler City Incubator, to compete with non-profit service providers. Arts should be considered part of economic development and the county should have a designated funding amount that pass through to be administered by the Economic Development Corporation, which has a clear track record in working collaboratively with Chatham Arts to improve both its programming and fundraising efficiency and effectiveness.

I am also concerned that we keep pushing the new northeast high school further and further out. The school was to open last year, but it seems each year we push it one year further out. As it stands with the approval of this budget, the school will open in 2017.

Finally, I have ongoing concern that the county is regularly overestimating our expected expenses and underestimating our expected revenues. One of two things result from this. Either the residents are paying too much in property taxes, or as I believe we are

at times underfunding needed services and frequently spending the resulting extra year fund balance in a non-strategic manner.

For all of these reasons, I am voting NO on the 2012-13 Chatham budget.”

Commissioner Cross stated that there are items in the budget that he wishes had gone a different direction than they did and if he could have changed them, he would have; however, he stated that the County Manager and Staff received their guidance from the Board of Commissioners majority. He stated that he feels they did a wonderful job in providing a budget that works and that he accepts the budget.

Commissioner Petty thanked Staff for their hard work and stated that he feels they did a wonderful job in tough times. In trying to stretch a dollar to make it go as far as it can, he stated that he feels they have done a good job in doing so. One thing that continually arises, is the Arts Incubator. He stated that they have known all along that something needs to be done, yet no one did it. When it happened on the current Board’s watch, it looks as though they have taken the blunt of the blow. For the past ten years, it has been funded at some level knowing that it was coming to an end and he doesn’t understand why anyone is surprised that it ended especially in today’s economy. It should not be a shock as they are not talking about just \$34,000. They are talking about \$600,000 over the last ten years. If they had been going to get on their feet, they would have done so before now. It is not a wise use of taxpayer’s money to continue putting money into it. He stated that he had had many taxpayers and business owners in Siler City to tell him it was the right thing to do, and said that they would rather see the money used some other way. He stated that he is sorry that they disagree on it, but it is a philosophical difference.

Chairman Bock echoed prior comments about Staff doing a great job. He stated that one of the few items that got people’s attention was the supplements for teachers and teacher’s assistants and not all school employees stating that they think all school employees are valuable. Where they disagree, he stated, is whether it is the County’s job to pay all employees if they are not County employees. He stated that they have a good track record as a County of keeping a high supplement that goes to everyone. The extra amount of money they are allocating this year came about as a result of primarily teachers saying that they had to continually had to reach into their own pockets to purchase paper, pencils, markers, and supplies. Initially, he stated he wanted to see if they could set up a separate fund from which teachers could draw stating that he does not like teachers having to take it from their own pockets. Apparently that is not something the Board can do. This was an attempt to address that situation. Also, he stated that they are giving over \$900,000 more to the schools this year than last year and that \$400,000 of that was to address the major anticipated cuts by the State. Since those discussions, a lot of those cuts have been restored. He stated that he feels if the school system wants to pay more to their employees, there might be \$10,000 in their budget; however, he does not know that that is the Board’s decision to make. He said that the Arts Incubator seems to have gotten a lot of attention. Theirs was not an anti-arts vote. It was a project-specific vote. Anyway they looked at it, they just couldn’t come up with a way to justify taxpayers dollars for it. Just because it is arts doesn’t mean that everything with the word “arts” in front of it needs to be funded. It is not an arts specific vote; it is a project vote. With regard to building the new school, he stated that he felt it would be unwise for the Board to build a school before the school is needed as it will cost, at a minimum \$44 million. The School Board was pretty adamant that they are not at the point to where a new school needs to be built. He stated that he doesn’t see how that would make sense to push construction back as it needs to be built when it is needed but not before. For those reasons, he stated that he would vote for the budget.

Commissioner Stewart echoed the sentiments of a job well-done by Staff. She stated that she would hate to project out what the revenues were going to be ahead of time in this economy. She stated that the Arts Incubator was not a sustainable situation as it was. She stated that if they had asked for a loan and provided collateral, it might have been something to entertain. She stated that they asked about business plans and for other information, but never received everything that they asked for. Over such a long period of time, it was never addressed. She stated that it was not the Board of Commissioners’ decision that the school

not be built. It was up to the School Board to say that they didn't need one. Until they do, there was no issue for her where that was concerned. She stated that the Board didn't raise taxes; they are going to meet obligations including the new huge debt obligation with the new Judicial Center; and for those reasons, she supports the budget.

Chairman Bock called the question. The motion carried four (4) to one (1) with Commissioner Kost opposing. The FY 2012-2013 Budget Ordinance is attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof.

**Environmental Review Advisory Committee Appointment:** Vote on a request to appoint George Lucier, by Commissioner Kost, to a three year term ending June 30, 2015.

Commissioner Kost moved, seconded by Commissioner Cross, to appoint George Lucier to the Environmental Review Advisory Committee.

Commissioner Kost presented comments to the Board and provided them in their entirety for the record as follows:

“There are three qualities that make a strong advisory board member.

The first is the love and knowledge for Chatham County. George not only loves this county, but has lived here for 40 years and has been actively involved in the community.

The second quality is knowledge and qualifications and I can think of no one who is more qualified for this position. He served as the chair of the Planning Board between 2001 and 2004, and served on the Board of Commissioners for 4 years and chair as 2 years. George chaired the Planning Board when the Compact Community Ordinance was written, which is the guide book for the Briar Chapel Development. I give much of the credit of Briar Chapel's success to the compact community ordinance, and we owe a great deal of gratitude to George.

Scientifically, Dr. Lucier is a world-renowned toxicologist. He has chaired the NC Scientific Advisory Board for toxic air pollutants for 15 years. He is the former associate director of the National Toxicology Program and was responsible for coordinating toxicological research and testing across federal agencies including the EPA, the Food and Drug Administration and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Dr. Lucier has published 250 scientific research articles in peer-reviewed scientific literature. He was assigned by the White House Office of Science and Technology to harmonize risk assessment for mercury across federal agencies. He has served as editor for Environmental Health Perspectives, considered the world's leading scientific journal dealing with environmental health issues.

The third quality is an individual who can work with others. I worked along side Dr. Lucier when he as on the board of commissioners and he is a respectful, fair person who would be a tremendous asset.

When Tom Vanderbeck was elected, he appointed Karl Ernst to the Planning Board. I believe this was an outstanding appointment. I didn't always agree with Mr. Ernst, in fact, I probably disagreed with him more than I agreed, but I always listened to what he had to say, and a few times he swayed my opinion.

We know that diverse opinions and positions benefit the board. Earlier in the day Mr. Bock said that advisory boards are not policy boards. They provide information and the board of commissioners make the final decision. Would we not want to have advisory members of Dr. Lucier's caliber providing hard scientific information on which we can make decisions?”

Commissioner Cross stated that there was no one more qualified to serve on the Environmental Review Advisory Committee than George Lucier and he supports his appointment.

Chairman Bock stated that he has nothing against Dr. Lucier personally. The whole reason he is here and ran for office was based on a couple of basic principles. One of those was he didn't feel that Mr. Lucier was properly protecting property rights and there was a long history where they would disagree fundamentally on the property rights issue. The Environmental Review Committee was one of those areas, and can still be one of those areas, if not done properly which doesn't balance property rights and the environment. That is the main reason, he stated, that he ran for office and the main reason he is in that chair. He stated that he feels they need to compromise on details. He doesn't think that they can compromise on principles. He stated that he can't, by principle, put someone in an advisory board with a history, in his opinion, that goes against all of his principles when it comes to property rights. As much as he would like to support Commissioner Kost's nomination, he cannot support this one.

Commissioner Kost asked Chairman Bock if he could give more specifics on property rights.

Chairman Bock stated that he ran an entire campaign on this and is not going to run a campaign at this time. He stated that the Joint Land Use Plan that the Board approved this afternoon, originally was going to have a significant impact on the landowners in that area where they would have to have been down-zoned. It would have been taking the use of their land without compensation. There was a significant buffer on the American Tobacco Trail with no scientific rationale for putting a 100' buffer on a 14 foot wide manmade trail. What it did was take away property rights. When you are talking west of the rural service boundary, it almost took away significant property rights from the landowners. That was put into place by Mr. Lucier. He stated that when he looks at the Major Corridor Ordinance that almost passed which was worked on for a couple of years by the County, they were talking thousands and thousands of acres that were going to move to government control from private property control. There are tons of things such as those which he cannot turn around and then put someone on an advisory board that has that kind of thoughts. That is why he is here and it is the people's prerogative to decide if he should continue to be here. For now, one of the important things they do is staff these advisory boards so that they give advice on how to get done what they are trying to get done.

Commissioner Stewart stated that she wouldn't begin to question Dr. Lucier's credentials and qualifications as a scientist. For her, she stated, that it is a difference in philosophy; that many of their implemented changes in streamlining run contrary to his beliefs and actions as a Commissioner; that she would not expect another Commissioner to support an appointment that she made with which they did not agree; and that she cannot support this appointment.

Commissioner Kost stated that she had several upcoming appointments including the Planning Board and Economic Development Commission and she does not feel that she can bring forth a candidate for nomination that will have the majority of the Board's philosophy. She stated that this advisory board is her nomination; that it is one that the Board has traditionally supported; and that if the Board expects her to bring forth nominations that the Board's philosophy when it differs from hers, it will not happen; therefore, she will be unable to make any more appointments.

Commissioner Stewart disagreed stating that it is her right to do so; however, it is her right to say that she does not agree.

Commissioner Kost stated that she had voted for other's appointments out of respect for the nominators with the understanding that they want advisors that have their philosophy as she would like to have advisors that share hers.



Chairman Bock asked Commissioner Kost if she had made appointments in the year and a half that they have been on the Board. Commissioner Kost replied, yes.

Chairman Bock stated that then she could bring nominations and make appointments that get approved. He stated that he felt that there were some that he could bring forth that she would not approve and he does not bring them. To say that she could never bring a nomination forward is not right, as she has and the Board has approved them. One of those appointments was Mr. Starkweather to the Economic Development Commission which he did not support, but he did not oppose. There are lots of people that can be nominated and will and have; that there will be details on which they disagree, but these are specific history of things on which he worked to get elected to undo, that he worked to get here to protect; and he can't put him on the board knowing that they have the history.

Commissioner Kost explained that the Economic Development Commission appointments were done by a panel; that the appointment was recommended by the panel; and that she was disappointed.

Commissioner Kost stated that her husband had planned to be in attendance to make comments but was unable to do so. She asked that his comments be added to the written record. By consensus, the Board agreed.

Mr. Kost's comments are as follows:

"Chatham County is truly fortunate to have Dr. George Lucier nominated as a member of the Environmental Review Committee. As a scientist myself I am impressed by his highly productive career and outstanding scientific credentials in environmental science.

Dr. Lucier served as the former Director of the National Environmental Toxicology Program in the National Institute of Environmental Health Science, as a member of numerous Scientific Societies including the Society for Toxicology and as a consulting editor to the publication Environmental Health Perspectives.

He has served on numerous environmental advisory boards and co-authored over 100 scientific publications.

Locally Dr. Lucier served as Chair of the County Planning Board and Chair of the Board of Commissioners.

The county is truly fortunate to have Dr. Lucier, a nationally recognized expert in environmental sciences, nominated to serve on the Environmental Review Committee."

Chairman Bock called the question. The motion failed three (3) to two (2) with Commissioners Bock, Petty, and Stewart opposing.

### **MANAGER' S REPORTS**

The County Manager had no reports.

### **COMMISSIONERS' REPORTS**

#### **911 Artifacts:**

Commissioner Stewart stated that there was a meeting held last week regarding the 911 artifact and its possible permanent home. She stated that the committee has agreed that a very suitable location would be adjacent to the new judicial facility. Almost across from the fire station, there is a parcel of land and they are waiting for the Public Works Director to return with some drawings and possibilities before proceeding. She stated that she would keep the Board updated.

**Orange-Person-Chatham Resolution:**

Chairman Bock asked how the Board felt about bringing forth the proposed resolution by Tom Glendinning honoring the work of the Orange-Person-Chatham Mental Health Area Program and its board.

Commissioner Cross stated that he feels it should be written up according to protocol and presented to the Board of Commissioners at their next meeting. By consensus, the Board agreed.

**Human Relations Committee:**

Commissioner Kost stated that she would like to add the Human Relations Committee to an upcoming agenda the future of the Human Relations Committee. She stated that she is the liaison to a board that does not exist and she would like to have it discussed.

Commissioner Stewart asked if there had been any to sign up to be on the Human Relations Committee as she has had one person to express interest that lives in her district.

Chairman Bock stated that he thought there had been one other person to express an interest in serving on the committee. He asked that it be placed on the next Board of Commissioners' agenda.

**Bill Dealing with Board of Adjustment:**

Commissioner Kost stated that she would like to have an update on the introduction of the bill dealing with the Board of Adjustment.

The County Manager explained that he had spoken with Representative Joe Hackney who stated that it had been introduced; however, he has heard nothing further. He stated that he would send a bill number to the Board.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Commissioner Petty moved, seconded by Commissioner Stewart, to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried five (5) to zero (0), and the meeting adjourned at 6:43 PM.

---

Brian Bock, Chairman

ATTEST:

---

Sandra B. Sublett, CMC, NCCCC, Clerk to the Board  
Chatham County Board of Commissioners