10. 10. 26_FW 2010. 09. 28 NC Secondary Roads Project Selection Guidelines

Blakley, Reuben [rblakley@ncdot.gov] From: Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2010 5:27 PM

Charlie Horne; Melissa Guilbeau; David Hughes To:

Cc: Picklesimer, Jeff L; Bullock, Justin E
Subject: FW: 2010. 09. 28 NC Secondary Roads Project Selection Guidelines
Attachments: DRAFT Resolution Chatham Co. pdf; DRAFT Chatham County Trust. pdf;
DRAFT Chatham County Highway. pdf; chatham2009-2011_FUNDS. pdf; 2009
Chatham Unpaved Priorities. pdf; 2010. 09. 28 NC Secondary Roads Project

Selection Guidelines.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: FI agged

Charlie,

In preparation for our meeting tomorrow I have attached our draft Secondary Improvement Program resolution with Highway Fund and Trust Fund descriptions, map, 2009 Unpaved Road priority ratings and guidelines for selecting secondary improvement projects.

Since Chatham County has 64 unpaved roads on the rural and subdivision priority list that is not paved it is our opinion that every effort should be made to continue paving down this priority list until we have made an attempt to pave them all.

We can discuss further tomorrow how the county would prefer to proceed with the 2009-2010 Secondary Improvement Program.

Reuben Blakley, P.E. District Engineer

NCDOT District Office 300 Country Club Drive PO Box 1164 Asheboro, NC 27204 Phone: 336-629-1423 Fax: 336-629-7228

Email correspondence to and from this sender is subject to the N.C. Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5496 (20101001)

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

CHATHAM COUNTY November 1, 2010

WHEREAS, the North Carolina Department of Transportation received in September 2010 an allocation of \$1,843,687.19, an allocation of \$562,456.54 from September 2009, and remaining funds of \$27,554.89 from the previous **Secondary Road Improvement Program**, a total of \$1,433,698.62 is proposed for Secondary Road Improvements in Chatham County for fiscal years 2009-2011. A public meeting was held on November 1, 2010 in the Chatham County Commissioners Meeting Room on the expenditures of said funds:

WHEREAS, it is proposed to utilize approximately \$1,271,250 to construct roads down the priority list as far as possible, provided rights of way are available:

PROGRAM SUBJECT TO AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING, RIGHT-OF-WAY, AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW								
Priority No.	<u>SR #</u>	Road Name	From	To	Miles	Description	Cost	
1 Subdivision	1748	Sexton Rd	End Maint	SR 1008/	0.25	GDBP&EC	\$101,250	
2 Subdivision	1379	Ed Clapp Rd	SR 1310	End Maint	0.10	GDBP&EC	\$40,500	
3 Subdivision	1126	Jones Rd	End Maint	SR 1124	0.30	GDBP&EC	\$127,500	
4 Subdivision	1384	Fletcher Siler Rd	End Maint	SR 1374	0.04	GDBP&EC	\$21,000	
5 Rural Hold	1510	Evans Chapel Rd	SR 1506	End Maint	0.40	GDBP&EC	\$180,000	
6 Rural Hold	2167	Jay Shambley Rd	Byidge #404	\$R 2165	0.68	GDBP&EC	\$306,000	
8 Rural	2322	Sam Phillips Rd	Moøre Co	SR 2308	0.80	GDBP&EC	\$360,000	
		International	$\rightarrow 1/1$					
9 Rural	1962	Woodyard Rd	End Maint	SR 1012	0.30	GDBP&EC	\$135,000	

WHEREAS, it is proposed to retain \$162,448.62 of the total allocation for spot stabilization, paved road improvements, replace small pridges with pipe, or safety projects.

WHEREAS, the North Carolina Department of Transportation received in September 2010 an allocation of \$1,219,930.21, an allocation of \$432,447.93 from September 2009, and remaining funds of \$860,734.18 from the North Carolina Highway Trust Fund, a total of \$2,513,112.32 is proposed for Secondary Road Improvements in Chatham County for fiscal years 2009-2011. A public meeting was held on November 1, 2010 in the Chatham County Office Building on the expenditures of said funds:

WHEREAS, it is proposed to utilize approximately \$2,160,000 to construct roads down the priority list as far as possible, provided rights of way are available:

<u>Priority No.</u>	<u>SR #</u>	Road Name	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Miles</u>	Description	<u>Cost</u>
10 Rural	1971	Johnson Rd	SR 1970	End Maint	1.00	GDBP&EC	\$450,000
11 Rural	2156	Woody Dam Rd	SR 1953	End Maint	1.00	GDBP&EC	\$450,000
12 Rural	1305	Cooper Rd	SR 1305	SR 1304	0.80	GDBP&EC	\$360,000
13 Rural	1147	Gee Rd	Randolph Co	SR 1129	0.50	GDBP&EC	\$225,000
14 Rural	2162	Mark Teague Rd	End Maint	SR 2159	0.50	GDBP&EC	\$225,000
15 Rural	2124	Arch Hausley Rd	SR 1176	SR 2126	0.80	GDBP&EC	\$360,000
16 Rural	2206	Hilltop Rd	End Maint	SR 1506	0.20	GDBP&EC	\$90,000

WHEREAS , it is proposed to retain \$353,112.32 of the total allocati paving and overruns.	on for property owner participation
BE IT RESOLVED that all of the above be carried out by the Depar	tment of Transportation.
CERTIFICATE	
The foregoing resolution was adopted by the Chatham County Boundary of 2010 and appears of	pard of Commissioners on the on the minutes of the said Commission.
Witness my hand and official seal this the day of	Clerk, Board of Commissioners County of Chatham

CHATHAM COUNTY SECONDARY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

HIGHWAY FUNDS

2009-2011

	2009-2010 Allocations 2010-2011 Allocations Unallocated Highway Funds TOTAL AVAILABLE	\$ 562,456.54 \$ 843,687.19 \$ 27,554.89 \$ 1,433,698.62
L Paving funds (PROC RIGH	AM ELEMENTS: g of unpaved roads down the priority list as Right of Way and allow, approximately GRAM SUBJECT TO AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING, T OF WAY, AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW)	\$ 1,271,250
**** MAI Map #1	P NUMBERS MARKED IN RED**** (Priority #1 Subdivision) SR 1748 (Sexton Road.) from End Maintenance to SR 1008 0.25 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave, and Erosion Control	\$ 101,250
Map #2	(Priority #2 Subdivision) SR 1379 (Ed Clapp Road) from SR 1310 to End Maintenance 0.19 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 40,500
Map #3	(Priority #3 Subdivision) SR 1126 (Jones Road) from End Maintenance to SR 1124 0.30 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 127,500
Map #4	(Priority #4 Subdivision) SR 1384 (Fletcher Siler Road) from End Maintenance to SR 1374 0.04 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 21,000
Map #5	(Rural Hold) SR 1510 (Evans Chapel Road) from SR 1506 to End Maintenance 0.40 mi – Grade, Dram, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 180,000
Map #6	(Rural Hold) SR 2167 (Jay Shambley Road) from Bridge #404 to SR 2165 0.68 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 306,000
Map #8	(Priority #8 Rural) SR 2322 (Sam Phillips Road) from Moore County to SR 2308 0.80 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 360,000
Map #9	(Priority #9 Rural) SR 1962 (International Woodyard Road) from End Maintenance to SR 1012 0.30 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave, and Erosion Control	\$135,000

II. Retain approximately 11% of available funds for road additions, property owner participation paving, volunteer fire department and rescue squad driveways, old subdivision improvement program, overruns, county-wide surveys, and Right of Way acquisition.



CHATHAM COUNTY SECONDARY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

TRUST FUNDS

2009-2011

FUNDS: 2009-2010 Allocations 2010-2011 Allocations Unallocated Highway Funds TOTAL AVAILABLE	\$ 432,447.93 \$ 1,219,930.21 \$ 860,734.18 \$ 2,513,112.32
PROGRAM ELEMENTS: L Paving of unpaved roads down the priority list as Right of Way and funds allow, approximately (PROGRAM SUBJECT TO AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING, RIGHT OF WAY, AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW)	\$ 2,160,000
****MAP NUMBERS MARKED IN RED****	
Map #10 (Priority #10 Rural) SR 1971 (Johnson Road) from SR 1970 to End Maintenance 1.0 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 450,000
Map #11 (Priority #11 Rural) SR 2156 (Woody Dam Road) from SR 1953 to End Maintenance 1.0 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 450,000
Map #12 (Priority #12 Rural) SR 1305 (Cooper Road) from SR 1305 to SR 1304 0.80 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Brosion Control	\$ 360,000
Map #13 (Priority #13 Rural) SR 1147 (Gee Road) from Randolph County to SR 1129 0.50 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Prosion Control	\$ 225,000
Map #13 (Priority #14 Rural) SR 2162 (Mark Teague Road) from End Maintenance to SR 2159 0.50 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 225,000
Map #15 (Priority #15 Rural) SR 2124 (Arch Hausley Road) from SR 1176 to SR 2126 0.80 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 360,000
Map #16 (Priority #16 Rural) SR 2206 (Hilltop Road) from End Maintenance to SR 1506 0.20 mi – Grade, Drain, Base, Pave and Erosion Control	\$ 90,000



ChathamRural

ham	27 9 C 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Chatham Land Pours	22121 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200
Tot	
THOTOLOGINATE ADT	1 2 2 3
Route	<mark>zz>>>z</mark> >zz>>zz>zzzz>>zzzz>>zzzz
authum Bridges	000000 000++00000000000000000000000000
acity	<u> </u>
Ree facility	<u> </u>
Business	
gus churches	000000 000000000000000000000000000000
chur, schools	
SCI	
*Pat line	
*Hone's	α ν + α α α α α - 0 0 α α + 4 4 ν α α α 4 4 0 α 4 4 0 α 4 4 0 α α α α α α
	0.7 0.2 0.7 0.7 0.45 0.57
Rodintured Productive	
Road Length	0.32 0.32 0.32 0.35
Road	0.02 0.03
Unand Longth	<mark></mark>
Pud Length	DA COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF
	EDWARD'S ROAD LEWIS MOODY ROAD ALBRIGHT ROAD WILL SHIELDS ROAD ZEB BROOKS ROAD ARTIS COTTON ROAD SAM PHILLIPS ROAD SAM PHILLIPS ROAD INTERNAT. WOODYARD RO WOODY DAM ROAD COOPER ROAD ARCH HAUSLEY ROAD ARCH HAUSLEY ROAD LINDO JOHNSON ROAD LINDO JOHNSON ROAD ALE FEGUSON ROAD CLYDE DAVIS ROAD ALERT JOURDAN RD BUCKHORN ROAD CLYDE DAVIS ROAD CLYDE DAVIS ROAD ALERT JOHNSON RD. HANKS LOOP ROAD CLYDE CLARK RD ELBERT JOHNSON RD. HANKS LOOP ROAD CLYDE CLARK RD CLYDE CLARK RD ELBERT JOHNSON RD. HANKS LOOP ROAD CLYDE CLARK RD CHANKS LOOP ROAD ALTON KING ROAD WILE ROAD DICKENS ROAD WADE MARSHALL ROAD WADE MARSHALL ROAD WADE MARSHALL ROAD WADE WARSHALL ROAD WADE WARSHALL ROAD WADE WARSHALL ROAD WADE WARSHALL ROAD WALLIS DUNN ROAD WILLIS DUNN ROAD ASHLEY ISLAND ROAD LOG CABIN DRIVE
Localname	SEOAL SEADLES ROAD RESTORED RE
roc _{sy}	EDWARD'S ROAD LEWIS MOODY ROAD ALBRIGHT ROAD WILL SHIELDS ROAD ARTIS COTTON ROAD WALLIPS ROAD SAM PHILLIPS ROAD WOODY DAM ROAD WOODY DAM ROAD UNGONY DAM ROAD ARCH HAUSLEY ROAD HILTOP ROAD ARCH HAUSLEY ROAD ARCH HAUSLEY ROAD OOPER ROAD OOPER ROAD WOODY DAW ROAD LINDO JOHNSON ROAD LINDO JOHNSON ROAD LINDO JOHNSON ROAD SUCKHORN ROAD BUCKHORN ROAD BUCKHORN ROAD ALERT JOHNSON RO. BE BEAL ROAD CLYDE CLARK RD ELBERT JOHNSON RO. BE BEAL ROAD CLYDE CLARK RD ELBERT JOHNSON RO. HANKS LOOP ROAD CLYDE CLARK RD ELBERT JOHNSON RO. HANKS LOOP ROAD WILE ROAD DICKENS ROAD WILE ROAD WHITE ROAD WHITE ROAD WHITE ROAD WHITE ROAD WHITE ROAD WHITE ROAD WALLIS DUNN ROAD WILKIS ROAD ASHLEY ISLAND ROAD NO NAME FOUSHEE ROAD
	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
Hold List of	
Hold Light of Sugar Republic	<u> </u>
Supply ist	××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××
rozenlist	- 2 8 4 7 9 6 8 9 5 1 5 2 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 5 1 5
ignity	< <
6/30/2009	1304 1304 1304 1305 1306 1306 1306 1306 1306 1306 1306 1306
6/30/2	

ChathamRural

oints	24	22	19	15	12	12	10	10	10		
Total Points	z	z	z	I	z	z	z	z	z		
Thorough Act	12	9	9	0	9	9	10	10	10		
Sit Route	z	>	>	>	z	z	z	z	z		
BUSINI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Bridges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Rec Pacility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
\'ndu'	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Business	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Churches	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Schools	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
* Pat Tine											
*Hores	2	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	0		
Length Red											
Road Length	0.3	0.05	7	0.1	0.13	0.4	0.54	9.0	0.7		
Roadi	0.3	0.05	7	0.1	0.13	4.0	0.54	9.0	0.7	33.03	
Jr. P. od or od o	_					_		_	_		
Ju / M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Jrn Pyd Length	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0		
Jud Length	0	0	RM RD 0	0	OAD 0	ON ROAD 0		_			
Pudit	1 0	K ROAD 0	LEY FARM RD 0	OAD 0	ARK ROAD 0	RINGTON ROAD 0		_			
Pvd Length Local name	W NO. 1	LL PARK ROAD 0	PE VALLEY FARM RD 0	STAL ROAD 0	YDE CLARK ROAD 0	UL FARRINGTON ROAD 0		_			
Pud Lt.	N NEW NO. 1	N BALL PARK ROAD 0	N HOPE VALLEY FARM RD 0	N VESTAL ROAD 0	N CLYDE CLARK ROAD 0	N PAUL FARRINGTON ROAD 0	N S.C.FIRE TOWER RD. 0	N BENNETT FLAT WOOD RD 0	N SOUTH AIRPORT ROAD 0		
Pud La Lacad range	Z	N BA	z	z	z	z	N S.C.FIRE TOWER RD.	N BENNETT FLAT WOOD RD	N SOUTH AIRPORT ROAD		
Pud La Lacad range	Z	N BA	R N HOPE VALLEY FARM RD 0	z	z	z	N S.C.FIRE TOWER RD.	N BENNETT FLAT WOOD RD	SOUTH AIRPORT ROAD		
Production of the Production o	Z	N BA	z	z	z	z	N S.C.FIRE TOWER RD.	N BENNETT FLAT WOOD RD	N SOUTH AIRPORT ROAD		
Production of the State of the	z	R N BA	z	z «	υ z	z «	R N S.C.FIRE TOWER RD.	R N BENNETT FLAT WOOD RD	N SOUTH AIRPORT ROAD		am
Frozentist Frozentist Strokentist Frozentist	. 52 R N	B 53 R N BA	54 R N H	55 R N	56 R N C	57 R N	58 R N S.C.FIRE TOWER RD.	59 R N BENNETT FLAT WOOD RD	60 R N SOUTH AIRPORT ROAD		9 Program
Production of the State of the	z	B 53 R N BA	z «	55 R N	56 R N C	57 R N	58 R N S.C.FIRE TOWER RD.	59 R N BENNETT FLAT WOOD RD	60 R N SOUTH AIRPORT ROAD		008-2009 Program

9/28/2010 5:18 PM

ChathamRuralHold

Chatham	88 68 68 68 68 68 68 70 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71	884 140 137
TotalF	S S T T T T T T T T T T T S Z S Z Z Z Z	
nught fate	114	
	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
Bus Route	00-00-00000-000-00000000-000-000	000
Bridges	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000
Rectacility	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000
Indit	000000-0000000-0-000000000) O O -
Business	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000
churches	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000
schools	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000
*Part Time		
	ω ω ω ω 4 5 Γ ω 4 ω Γ ω ω ½ 5 5 ω Γ ν α α 4 5 ω ω α ω ω τ α α 4 Γ ω Γ 4 ν ω Γ 4 ν ω ω ω ω 6 5 5	i 4 6 α
# Hone's		
Length red Programmed		
b. Jangin	0.0	
Road Length	0 0 0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0.3 0.1 1.08 34.0
Urpad Longin	0.00 0.30 0.35 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.00	0 0.7 0.32
Pudlan	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	T: AD
	CCH RD VOVS R VOVE R VOVE R VOVE R VOVE R VOVE R VOAD VOAD VOAD VOAD VOAD VOAD VOAD VOAD	STREE OAD ERT RO
Local name	CLARENCE BROOKS ROAD SANTEK ROAD PLEASANT HILL CH RD HAROLD HACKNEY ROAD ALBERT HARGROVE RD. LUTTERLOH ROAD VANDER OLDHAM RD DE LACY STONE ROAD VANDER OLDHAM RD DE LACY STONE ROAD VANDER OLDHAM RD DE LACY STONE ROAD PERRY GRAVE YARD RD CLUCIAN HILLARD RD. JAY SHAMBLEY ROAD RIDDLE ROAD MANCO DAIRY ROAD PATTERSON STREET JACK ALSTON ROAD PATTERSON STREET JACK ALSTON ROAD HOLLY RIDGE ROAD PATTERSON STREET JACK ALSTON ROAD CLUCIAN BLAND ROAD ELMER KECK ROAD BATTEN SCH. RD. WILL BROWN ROAD BROWN HILL RD GULF ROAD BROWN HILL RD GULF ROAD RC. OVERMAN ROAD CITY LAKE ROAD ROCKY RIVER ROAD ROCKY RIVER ROAD BROCKY RIVER ROAD CHATHAM CHURCH RD D. SEAGROVE ROAD W.R. CLARK ROAD E. GOODWIN ROAD ROCK SPRINGS CH RD RWING ROAD ROCK SPRINGS CH RD RENNEST JONES RD EARNEST JONES RD	CORNWALLS STREET BILL THOMAS ROAD WALTER LAMBERT ROAD
, oc	CLARENCE BRA SANTEK ROAD PLEASANT HILL HAROLD HACK AALBERT HARGI LUTTERLOH RO UNDERWOOD VANDER OLDH DE LACY STON ALBRIGHT ROA PERRY GRAVE CLOADE PHILLI CLOAN HILLAR JAY SHAMBLEY MANCO DAIRY FRED TYSOR F RIDDLE ROAD HOLLY RIDGE F PATTERSON S: JACK ALSTON LUCIAN BLAND ELIMER KECK R WEDINA LAND ELIMER KECK R GULF ROAD MEDINA LAND ELIMER KECK R GULF ROAD MEDINA LAND ELIMER KECK R GULF ROAD MEDINA LAND ELIMER KECK R GULF ROAD W.R. CLARK R CHATHAM CHU CITY LAKE RO R COCKY RIVER I RIVERS FORK F CHATHAM CHU W.R. CLARK R E. GOODWIN R ROCK SPRINGS R RIVIN LINDLEY R ROCK SPRINGS R RIVIN LINDLEY R ROCK SPRINGS R RIVIN LINDLEY EARNEST JONI COTTS.	CORN ILL THC
rin (m)	O W L 4 J D > D 4 L D J 3 E L L L J J W J 5 4 M O 2 M R O R O D Z 5 M R E M C	
tod List day	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	. K K K
Hold Rura of		
kozenlist		
righty	U < ₪ ₪ ₪ ₪	
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	2200 1309 1506 11345 11345 11347 11304 11304 11304 11304 11305 1130 1130 1130 1130 1130 1130 113	1950 1952 2136
6/30/2009		•

9/28/2010 5:18 PM

ChathamSubdivision

hatham	A.S	06	132	69.3	780	104	
Ö	Total Points	-	z	_	_	_	
	iate	_	_	_	_	_	
	Thorough ADT	18	12	9	30	24	
	1. All	z	z	>	z	z	
	aus Route	0	0	0	0	0	
	Bridges		_	_	_		
	acility	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rectacility	0	0	0	0	0	
	/ indt/	0	0	_	0	0	
	Business	0	0	0	0	0	
	Thurche		_	_	_		
	schools	0	0	0	0	0	
	ine	0	0	0	0	0	
	*Part Time						
		3	7	_	2	4	
	*Hone's						
				0			
	Jength med						
	Pr Agin	0.25	0.1	0.3	0.04	0.3	
	200 d Length	25	Ξ.	ω.	8	6.	0.99
	Length	0	O	O	0	O	
	Unpud Length	0	0	0	0	0	
	Jr. P.vd Length						
					TCHER SILER ROAD		
		0	٥		ERF	RD	
	Local name	SEXTON ROAD	D CLAPP ROAD	_	SIL	AUGER HOLE RD	
	^oc _s ,	NO F	APP	S RE	里	RH	
		XTC	C	ONES RD	ETC	JGEI	
		SE	Ш	9	屲	AL	
	distym)	Z	z	Z	Z	Z	
	Hold List of	S	တ	S	S	တ	
	Hold Rural of Subdiving						
	/ .~	×	×	×	×	×	
		_	2	က	4	2	
	riority						
6(Alpha	748	1379	126	384	1915	
/30/2009	//_*	+	⊬	÷	←	Ŧ	
6/3	/ ⁵						

Completed by Harnett County

9/28/2010 5:18 PM

ChathamSubdivisionHold

Ε	/							
Shatharr	aints	234	478	302.1	150	94.2		
Ŭ	Total Points	z	z	z	ட	z		
	Thorough ADT	170	108	200	30	42		
	The AD'	>	>	z	>	z		
	Bus Route	0	0	0	0	_		
	Bridge	0	0	0	0	_		
	Recfacility	0	0	0	0	0		
	mdu	0	0	0	0	0		
	Busitess	0	0	0	0	0		
	Thurche	0	0	0	0	0		
	School							
	# Par Time	0	0	0	0	0		
	. /	6	18	80	2	7		
	*Hone's							
	Jangst Treed	-						
	Prodic *	_	0.3	0.47	0.3	_		
	RoadLength	_	<i>د</i> ن	47	ر ن	6	2.97	
	dlengt							
	Jr. Pard Length	0	0	0	0	0.1		
	Prig.							
		٥		ROAD	ROAD	ROAD		
	Local name	-ASHLEY ROAD	STREET	OMBLE	MIKE LINDLEY ROAD	EDDIE PERRY ROAD		
	~	ASHLE	FIRST 9	N MO	MIKE LI	EDDIE F		
	(m)	_ ≻	>	>		>		
	Hold List They	S	တ	S	S	S		
	SubDiv							
	Frozenlist							
	iotits							
60	Alpha	1544	1929	1703	1342	1522		Ď
6/30/2009	sr*	-	-	,	-	ζ-		Paved
	٠, '							

NORTH CAROLINA SECONDARY ROADS

PROJECT SELECTION GUIDELINES For Modernization Improvements to Routes on the Secondary System under HB 750/1825

Beginning this FY 2007-08 and continuing through FY2009-10 the Secondary Road Construction allocations will come in three parts as outlined by House Bills 750/1825:

G.S. 136-44.5b - First \$68.6M of Highway Fund Appropriation will be allocated to counties based on the county's pro rata share of paved secondary road mileage and unpaved mileage on the right-of-way hold

G.S. 136-44.5c - Highway Fund Appropriation in excess of \$68.6M will be allocated to counties based on the county's pro rata share of secondary road unpaved mileage.

G.S. 136-182 - Highway Trust Fund Appropriation will be allocated to counties based on the county's pro rata share of unpaved secondary road mileage eligible for paving.

Beginning with FY 2010-11, Highway Fund & Highway Trust Fund appropriations for the Secondary Road Improvement Program will be allocated to each county based on the county's percentage of state maintained secondary road mileage.

The purpose of the funds will be to enhance the secondary road system consistent with the Department's long-range transportation plan, particularly through: 1 Modernization - upgrades to the system safety, functionality, and overall operational efficiency, without adding capacity (e.g., intersection improvements and rolling stock upgrades). [1Ref: Charting a New Direction for NCDOT, North Carolina's Long - Range Statewide Multimodal Transportation Plan, Page 9]

Blending with other fund sources (Contract Resurfacing, Spot Safety, etc) may maximize efficient use of this funding to accomplish planned objectives.

These project selection guidelines are intended to provide a tool for creating a consistent Secondary Road Improvement Program that is acceptable to county commissioners and results in safety & modernization of the sub regional tier of the long range transportation plan. A two-step process is recommended

Step one - develop a complete countywide needs including a review of the operation of the road network with a focus on the secondary road thoroughfare system developed to meet demands generated by anticipated growth. The needs analysis should also be consistent with accomplishing performance targets for the sub-regional tier network utilizing quantitative data such as ADT, crash rates, pavement condition ratings, lane widths, and bridge condition ratings.

Step two - develop a planned strategy to provide safety and operational improvements. The plan may need to include phasing options over multiple years to facilitate improvements. A practical priority order for road improvement selection process may be to start with the arterial routes, then collector routes, then minor secondary routes. In most cases subdivision roads would have the least rank in prioritizing road improvements since these routes serve the least amount of traffic volume.

Recommended activities to meet planned objectives include but are not limited to:

- Addition of turn lanes
- Lane/Shoulder Widening
- Strengthening
- Culvert Replacements/Extensions (that accommodate lane/shoulder widening)
- Stripping/Marking (subsequent to Secondary Road Improvement Projects only)
- Horizontal/Vertical Curve Realignments
- Intersection Realignments
- Bridge Replacement

Project Selection Guidelines

Traffic Volume (ADT)/Thoroughfare Characteristics

- Initial priority should be given to secondary routes with highest traffic volume, beginning with routes having ADT > 1500.
- Projects considered on lower volume secondary routes should generally be selected based on crash history or bridge replacement needs.

Pavement or Lane Width

- Initial priority should be given to secondary routes having deficient lane widths, beginning with the routes having with lane widths < 11-ft and ADT > 1500.
- Secondary routes with lane widths <11-ft and ADT < 1500 may be considered based on crash rates and traffic use characteristics.
- Any route with lane width < 9-ft should be evaluated with respect to ADT and crash rates.

Shoulder Width/Vehicle Recovery Area

- Roadways that do not meet minimum shoulder or recovery area design should be evaluated with respect to crash rates and history.
- Roadside hazards such as trees, headwalls, driveway pipes, utility poles, etc. should be moved, improved, or mitigated to improve vehicle recovery area and reduce potential crash severity.
- Shoulder widths may be increased utilizing culvert extensions or headwalls.

Crash Data

- Priority should be given to routes with elevated crash rate versus County Average (mapping provided by Traffic Services).
- Projects should be considered where crash data suggests that pavement width,
 vehicle recovery area, lack of turn lanes, sight distance, delineation, pavement condition, or markings may be contributory.

Pavement Condition Assessment

- For Pavement Condition Survey Rating of 80 or less consider hotmix overlay and widening if needed.
- For Pavement Condition Survey Rating >80 evaluate for widening only.
- Strengthen posted roads to remove light weight ordinance
- Routes for strengthening (Reclamation/Reconstruction)

Adopted by Board of Transportation's Secondary, Road Maintenance & Equipment Committee June 6, 2007

Traffic Flow Assessment

- Addition of turn lanes to improve traffic flow and potentially reduce crashes.
- Improvements to maintain current posted speeds or avoid a reduction in traffic flow.

Environmental Impacts

- Projects will be subject to SEPA requirements (Min. Criteria, CE or EA).
- Look for opportunities to minimize mitigation impacts.

Right of Way Requirements

- Valid r/w of 60-ft or greater preferred (may consider maintenance limits).
- Purchase of r/w or easements should be held to a minimum.
- Utility relocation costs are an eligible expenditure, but should be held to a minimum.

Bridge Replacement Eligibility Requirements

- Candidate projects should have a target condition rating < 50.
- Functional obsolescence should also be considered. Narrow bridges not meeting the above sufficiency-rating threshold are eligible based on crash history or traffic use.
- Crash history should be considered as part of project evaluation.
- Traffic use characteristics should be considered (commercial/delivery trucks, major detour routes, etc.).
- Projects should be limited to cored slab designs when replacing a bridge with a bridge.
- Only projects with off-site detours should be considered.
- Replacement of non-inventoried pipes or culverts will be considered only as part of other planned roadway improvements such as widening.

Other Screening Criteria

- Projects should be coordinated with other improvement programs such as Spot Safety, Pavement Preservation, Flexible Maintenance Funding, Force Account Bridge Replacement, Contract Resurfacing, Positive Guidance etc.
- Projects with different funding sources can be combined to expand the effectiveness of the program.
- Capacity Improvements may be considered only where increasing from 2 lanes to 3
 will benefit safety & operation. Increasing from 2 lanes to more than 3 lanes may be
 considered at intersections only to address warranted turn movement volumes.
- Spot Improvement projects such as guardrail, turn lanes and sight distance improvements are eligible.

For suggested design criteria on Local, Collector and Arterial Routes see chapters 5,6 &7 of A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets –2004.

Proposed Point Value System for Secondary Road Project Selection Tool

Assigned Values

OPERATIONAL NEED Max 30 pts

Pavement Condition:

--Greater than 85 3 pts --70 to 85 5 pts --50 to 70 8 pts

-less than 50 10 pts

Lane Width:

--Less than 10' 10 pts

-10' 8 pts -11' 6 pts -12' 2 pts

Shoulder Width (Includes paved shoulder):

--Less than 6' 10 pts --6' to 8' 5 pts

--Greater than 8' 1 pt

TRAFFIC VOLUME Max 20 Pts

Less than 2000 5 pts 2000 to 4000 10 pts

Greater than 4000 20 pts

SAFETY (Crash History Ratio) Max 20 pts

Less than 1 0 pts 1 to less than 2 10 pts 2 to 5 15 pts

Greater than 5 20 pts

Applied Values

COMPLETED or CONTRACTED within 2 yrs: Max 15 pts

Right of Way 5 pts
Utilities 5 pts
Environmental 5 pts

Note: if Project cannot be completed in (two?) years deduct 100 points

CONSTRUCTION FEASIBILITY Max 10 Pts

Feasibility 10 pts Max

FUNDING INABILITY BY OTHER MEANS Max 5 Pts

If No other funds available 5 pts